

Captured from oct16th-oct31th, 2023

1. Introduction

The first honeypot studies were released by Clifford Stoll in 1990 in his book The Cuckoo's Egg. Since then, the demand for honeypot technology has only increased. Efforts to monitor attackers have been continued at the Canadian Honeynet chapter, which was founded at the University of New Brunswick, NB, Canada in April in 2008.

In computer terminology, a honeypot is a trap set to detect, deflect or in some manner counteract attempts at unauthorized use of information systems. Generally, honeypots essentially turn the tables for Hackers and Computer Security Experts. They consist of a computer, data, network, or a site that appears to be part of a network but is isolated. These systems seem to contain information or a resource that would be of value to attackers.

The benefits of having a honeypot include:

- The ability to observe attackers in action and learn about their behavior
- Gather intelligence on attack vectors, malware, and exploits. Then use that intel to train your IT staff
- Create profiles of attackers that are trying to gain access to your systems
- Improve your security posture
- Waste attackers' time and resources
- Reduced false positive rate of detection systems
- Cost Effective

Our primary objectives are to gain insight into the security threats, vulnerabilities, and behavior of the attackers, investigate tactics and practices of the hacker community, and share learned lessons with the IT community and the appropriate forums in academia and Canadian law enforcement. In pursuit of these goals the CIC is using cutting edge technology to collect a dataset for Honeynet which includes honeypots on the inside and outside of our network.

These reports are generated based on the weekly traffic collected in our network. For more information or to request the weekly captured data, please contact us at <EMAIL-ADDRESS>.

2. Technical Setup

In the CIC-T POT project, we have defined a separated network with these services:

- ADB(Android Debug Bridge over TCP/IP)(ADBHoney)
- -HTTPS(CitrixHoneypot)
- -SNMP-ASF-RMCP-IPMI-RMCP(Conpot)
- -SSH-Telnet(Cowrie)



- -DICOM(Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine)(Dicompot)
- -FTP-TFTP-RPC-SAMBA-SQL-MySQL(Dionaea)
- -ElasticSearch(ElasticPot)
- -SSH(Endlessh)
- -SSH(Glutton)
- -POP-IMAP-IMAPS-POP3s-SOCKs5-PostgreSQL-VNC(Heralding)
- -HTTP(HellPot)
- -SAP(HoneySAP)
- -IPP(IPPHoney)
- -SMTP(Mailoney)
- -HL7-HFIR(Medpot)
- -RDP(RDPY)
- -RedisRedisHopyPot)
- -HTTP(SNARE)
- -HTTP(TANNER)

Inside the network there are faux real users. Each user has real behaviors and surfs the Internet based on the above protocols. The web server is accessible to the public and anyone can see the website. Inside the network, we put Untangle firewall at the edge of the network and NAT different services for public users. In the firewall, some ports such as 20, 21, 22, 53, 80, 143, 443 are opened intentionally to capture and absorb attackers' behaviors. Also, there are some weak policies for PCs such as setting common passwords. The data the PC's capture is mirrored through TAPs and is captured and monitored by TCPDump and Security Onion.

Furthermore, we use WordPress 4.9.4 and MySQL as databases to publish content on the website. We have also formed a kind of honeypot inside of the contact form. So, when the bots want to produce spams, we can grab these spams through "Contact Form 7 Honeypot" (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Contact Form 7 Honeypot



CIC-Honeynet uses <u>T-POT</u> tool outside the firewall which is equipped with several tool s. T-Pot is based on well-established honeypot daemons which include IDS and other tools for attack submission.

T-Pot is the all in one, optionally distributed, multiarch (amd64, arm64) honeypot plattform, supporting 20+ honeypots and countless visualization options using the Elastic Stack, animated live attack maps and lots of security tools to further improve the deception experience.

T-Pot is based on the Debian 11 (Bullseye) Netinstaller and utilizes <u>docker</u> and <u>docker-compose</u> to reach its goal of running as many tools as possible simultaneously and thus utilizing the host's hardware to its maximum.

The idea behind T-Pot is to create a system, which defines the entire TCP network range as well as some important UDP services as a honeypot . It forwards all incoming attack traffic to the honeypot daemons best suited to respond and process it. T-Pot includes docker versions of the following honeypots:

- adbhoney,
- ciscoasa,
- citrixhoneypot,
- conpot,
- cowrie,
- ddospot,
- dicompot,
- dionaea,
- elasticpot,
- endlessh,
- glutton,
- heralding,
- hellpot,
- honeypots,
- <u>honeytrap</u>,
- <u>ipphoney</u>,
- log4pot,
- mailoney,
- medpot,
- redishoneypot,
- sentrypeer,
- <u>snare</u>,
- tanner



Figure 2 demonstrates the network structure of the CIC - Honeynet and associated security tools. There are two TAPs for capturing, network activities. Outside the firewall, there is T-POT which captures the users' activities through external-TAP. Behind the Untangle firewall in the internal network Security

Onion has been used to analyze the captured data through internal-TAP. It is a Linux distro for intrusion detection, network security monitoring, and log mana gement. It's based on Ubuntu and contains Snort, Suricata, Bro, OSSEC, Sguil, Squert, ELSA, Xplico, NetworkMiner, and other security tool s.

In the internal network three PCs are running the CIC-Benign behavior generator (an in house developed agent), which generates activity such as internet surfing, FTP uploading and downloading, and Emailing. Also, four servers include Webserver with WordPress, and MySQL, Email Server (Postfix), File Server (Openmediavault) and SSH Server have been installed for different common services. We will change our firewall structure to test different brands every month.




Figure2: Network Diagram

We use T-POT tools as it is demonstrated in figure 2 .These tools are used for specific attacks:

- Cowrie: mimic the SSH command inside the firewall and captures the user commands. Some easy password such as 1234, 123... are entered in cowrie database to make it vulnerable to attackers.
- Adbhoney: The Android Debug Bridge (ADB) is a protocol designed to keep track of both emulated



and real phones/TVs/DVRs connected to a given host.

- **Ciscoasa**: A low interaction honeypot for the Cisco ASA component capable of detecting CVE-2018-0101, a DoS and remote code execution vulnerability.
- Citrixhoneypot: Detect and log CVE-2019-19781 scan and exploitation attempts.
- **Conpot**: Conpot is a low interactive server side Industrial Control Systems honeypot designed to be easy to deploy, modify and extend. By providing a range of common industrial control protocols.
- DDoSPot: DDoSPot is a honeypot "platform" for tracking and monitoring UDP-based
 Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks.
- **Dicompot**: Dicompot is a Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) Honeypot.
- **Dionaea**: Dionaea is meant to be a nepenthes successor, embedding python as scripting language, using libemu to detect shellcodes, supporting ipv6 and tls.
- **ElasticPot**: ElasticPot is an Elasticsearch Honeypot. This is a honeypot simulating a vulnerable Elasticsearch server opened to the Internet. It uses ideas from various other honeypots, like <u>ADBHoneypot</u> (for output plugin support), <u>Citrix Honeypot</u> (for general structure), <u>Elastichoney</u>.
- Endlessh: Endlessh is an SSH tarpit that very slowly sends an endless, random SSH banner.
- **Glutton**: Glutton provide SSH and a TCP proxy. SSH proxy works as a MITM between attacker and server to log everything in plain text.
- Heralding: Heralding simple honeypot that collects credentials,
- HellPot: HellPot is an endless honeypot based on Heffalump that sends unruly HTTP bots to hell.
- **Honeypots**: 25 low-high level honeypots in a single PyPI package for monitoring network traffic, bots activities, and username \ password credentials.
- Honeytrap: Honeytrap is a network security tool written to observe attacks against TCP or UDP services.
- **IPPHoney**: This is a honeypot simulating a printer that supports the Internet Printing Protocol and is exposed to the Internet.
- Log4Pot: A honeypot for the Log4Shell vulnerability (CVE-2021-44228).
- Mailoney: Mailoney is a SMTP Honeypot.



- **Medpot**: Medpot Is a honeypot that tries to emulate HL7 / FHIR honeypot.It is a highly interactive honeypot system that supports the Redis protocol. Developed in Golang language.
- **RedisHoneyPot**: It is a highly interactive honeypot system that supports the Redis protocol. Developed in Golang language.
- **SentryPeer**: SentryPeer is a fraud detection tool. It lets bad actors try to make phone calls and saves the IP address they came from and number they tried to call.
- **Snare**: Snare, a web application honeypot sensor, is the successor of Glastopf. SNARE has feature parity with Glastopf and allows to convert existing web pages into attack surfaces.
- Tanner: Tanner is Snares "brain". Every event is send from SNARE to TANNER, gets evaluated and TANNER decides how SNARE should respond to the client.

... alongside the following tools ...

- Cockpit for a lightweight and secure WebManagement and WebTerminal.
- Cyberchef a web app for encryption, encoding, compression and data analysis.
- Elastic Stack to beautifully visualize all the events captured by T-Pot.
- Elastic vue a web front end for browsing and interacting with an Elastic Search cluster.
- Fatt a pyshark based script for extracting network metadata and fingerprints from pcap files and live network traffic.
- Geoip-Attack-Map a beautifully animated attack map optimized for T-Pot.
- Pof is a tool for purely passive traffic fingerprinting.
- Spiderfoot an open source intelligence automation tool.
- Suricata a Network Security Monitoring engine.

... to give you the best out-of-the-box experience possible and an easy-to-use multi-honeypot appliance.

3. T-Pot Report

In this section, we give an overview of the of the attacks on T-Pot.


T-Pot Attacks Overview



We analyzed the IP addresses that made login attempts using the T-POT. The top ten Honeypots that we received login attempts from are listed in Table 1,Figure 1,2.

Table 1: Honeypots Attacks

Honeypots	Attacks(oct16th-oct31th)	Attacks(oct1st-oct15th)
Heralding	363,997	490,270
Cowrie	199,835	137,957
Dionaea	12,568	14,768
RedisHoneypot	122,124	20,986
Ciscoasa	121,681	93,559
Honeytrap	4,091	5,147
ElasticPot	566	683
Tanner	4,195	4,521
Sentrypper	3,651	6,202
Adbhoney	3,258	3,675
Mailoney	3,090	2,282
Conpot	1,700	2,196
Dicompot	250	265



Heralding 43.03%

Fall 16.18%

Fall 16.18%

Fall 16.18%

Fall 16.18%

Fall 16.18%

Figure 1: Honeypots Attacks Bar

Figure 2: Honeypots Attacks Pie chart

In Table2, top 10 of source IP addresses and the number of attacks are showcased.

Table 2: Attacker Source IP-Top 10

Source IP	Count
202.158.99.116	104,343
185.196.8.45	65,416



185.73.125.23	65,271
185.170.144.129	60,733
185.170.144.130	59,419
185.170.144.131	55,869
87.251.07.229	43,077
94.232.43.201	31,160
185.73.124.20	25,836
79.124.56.106	19,861

In Table3, Figure3, top 10 of country and the number of attacks are showcased.

Table 3: Attacks by country

Country	Count
Estonia	2,514,590
United States	764,786
Russia	493,761
Poland	404,845
Bulgaria	389,929
Indonesia	360,184
Canada	173,929
China	138,911
Netherlands	75,989
Germany	58,227
Other	457,412




Figure 3: Attacks by country Bar



Table 4 and Figure 4 show 99% attackers use Linux 2.2x-3x.

Table 4: Attacks by OS Distribution

OS Distribution	Count
???	765,373
Linux 2.2.x-3.x	765,358
Linux 2.2.x-3.x (barebone)	72
Linux 3.11 and newer	41
Windows NT kernel	21
Windows NT kernel 5.x	3
Windows 7 or 8	2
7?? 50% Window s NT kernel 5 x 0% Window s NT kernel 0%	Linux 2.2.x-3.x 50 %

Figure 4: POf OS Distribution

In figure 5, top 5 of countries are demonstrated by related ports. For example, the attacks from Russia have been 99% through port 5900.






Figure 5 : Attacks by country and port




Figure 6: Honeypots Attacks by country

The most frequently used usernames and passwords for brute force attacks, are listed in table 5,6 and Figure 7,8:

Table 5: Common usernames used by attackers

User name	Count
root	8,390
support	2,347
sa	1,931
postgres	1,689
admin	1,025



ubnt	404
pi	241
user	241
(empty)	224
Admin	160
Other	5,046






Figure 7: Common usernames used by attackers

Table 6: Common passwords used by attackers

Password	Count
support	2,353
(empty)	1,404
admin	1,076
password	709
123456	696
12345678	442
123	274
root	252
1234	232
ubnt	231
Other	30,944






Figure 8: Common passwords used by attackers






Figure 9: Number of attacks for each CVE

The location of attackers based on the IPs is presented in Figure 10.




Figure 10: The approximate locations of the attacker's IP addresses.

Based on T-POT, 86% of attacks are from known attackers, while only 0.06% are from addresses with a bad reputation (figure11).






Figure 11: External Honeypot source IP Reputation

2100560GPL POLICY VNC server response1,132,6832002923ET EXPLOIT VNC Server Not Requiring Authentication (case 2)530,7182002920ET POLICY VNC Authentication Failure530,1902002911ET SCAN Potential VNC Scan 5900-592015,3822001978ET POLICY SSH session in progress on Expected Port12,2002002752ET POLICY Reserved Internal IP Traffic11,7722210020SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED packet out of window7,9962210045SURICATA STREAM Packet with invalid ack5,2032210029SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED invalid ack5,2012210065SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED ack for ZWP data5,201	ID ·	Description	∨ Count ∨
2002920 ET POLICY VNC Authentication Failure 530,190 2002911 ET SCAN Potential VNC Scan 5900-5920 15,382 2001978 ET POLICY SSH session in progress on Expected Port 12,200 2002752 ET POLICY Reserved Internal IP Traffic 11,772 2210020 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED packet out of window 7,996 2210045 SURICATA STREAM Packet with invalid ack 5,203 2210029 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED invalid ack 5,201	2100560	GPL POLICY VNC server response	1,132,683
2002911 ET SCAN Potential VNC Scan 5900-5920 15,382 2001978 ET POLICY SSH session in progress on Expected Port 12,200 2002752 ET POLICY Reserved Internal IP Traffic 11,772 2210020 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED packet out of window 7,996 2210045 SURICATA STREAM Packet with invalid ack 5,203 2210029 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED invalid ack 5,201	2002923	ET EXPLOIT VNC Server Not Requiring Authentication (case 2)	530,718
2001978 ET POLICY SSH session in progress on Expected Port 12,200 2002752 ET POLICY Reserved Internal IP Traffic 11,772 2210020 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED packet out of window 7,996 2210045 SURICATA STREAM Packet with invalid ack 5,203 2210029 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED invalid ack 5,201	2002920	ET POLICY VNC Authentication Failure	530,190
2002752 ET POLICY Reserved Internal IP Traffic 11,772 2210020 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED packet out of window 7,996 2210045 SURICATA STREAM Packet with invalid ack 5,203 2210029 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED invalid ack 5,201	2002911	ET SCAN Potential VNC Scan 5900-5920	15,382
2210020 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED packet out of window 7,996 2210045 SURICATA STREAM Packet with invalid ack 5,203 2210029 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED invalid ack 5,201	2001978	ET POLICY SSH session in progress on Expected Port	12,200
2210045 SURICATA STREAM Packet with invalid ack 5,203 2210029 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED invalid ack 5,201	2002752	ET POLICY Reserved Internal IP Traffic	11,772
2210029 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED invalid ack 5,201	2210020	SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED packet out of window	7,996
	2210045	SURICATA STREAM Packet with invalid ack	5,203
2210065 SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED ack for ZWP data 5,201	2210029	SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED invalid ack	5,201
	2210065	SURICATA STREAM ESTABLISHED ack for ZWP data	5,201
			< <u>1</u> >

Figure 12: Suricata Alert Signature - Top 10



Attacker AS/N - Top 10		
AS ~	ASN ~	Count ~
208091	Xhost Internet Solutions Lp	252,919
197414	Xhost Internet Solutions Lp	228,969
42624	Simple Carrier LLC	65,440
50360	Tamatiya EOOD	43,591
4134	Chinanet	41,669
44477	Stark Industries Solutions Ltd	28,663
14061	DIGITALOCEAN-ASN	17,010
37963	Hangzhou Alibaba Advertising Co.,	16,493
42237	w1n ltd	12,241
4766	Korea Telecom	9,575
		< <u>1</u> >

Figure 13: Suricata Alert Attacker AS/N- Top 10




Figure 14: Attacks by Destination Port Histogram






Figure 15: Attacks by Honeypot Histogram




Figure 15: Attacks by Country Histogram